

Truth Decay Quarterly Review Worksheet

Domain Methods

WHAT THIS WORKSHEET IS FOR

Use this sheet to review one source-of-truth workflow before the next board pack, forecast review, or spend-defense meeting. The goal is to mark the current decay stage, name the decay vectors behind it, and choose the smallest reset that restores trust.

1. PICK THE REPORTING PATH

- Reporting workflow in scope: _____
- Meeting or decision it supports: _____
- Current official artifact: _____
- Unofficial artifact that still wins arguments: _____

2. MARK THE STAGE ON THE TRUTH DECAY MAP

- Clean launch: definitions, owners, and fallback still hold
- Quiet drift: caveats are growing but not yet controlling the room
- Local workarounds: patch sheets or side exports are back
- Parallel truths: multiple defensible answers now circulate
- Reporting politics: the number changes with audience or pressure

3. SCORE THE DECAY VECTORS

- Definition drift: what changed without a full review? _____
- Shadow artifacts: which spreadsheet, export, or screenshot returned? _
- Owner turnover: who used to carry the caveat memory? _____
- Exception creep: which carve-outs keep accumulating? _____

4. RUN THE QUARTERLY TRUST-HOLD REVIEW

- What changed in sources, stages, or business rules this quarter? _

- Which unofficial artifacts still won the room? _____
- Who approved the latest exceptions, and are they still valid? _____
- Can the current owner explain the fallback path clearly? _____
- What confidence label does the metric deserve today? _____

5. CHOOSE THE RESET

- Definition reset needed: yes / no
- Owner or approval reset needed: yes / no
- Artifact cleanup or fallback retirement needed: yes / no
- Deeper Data Foundation work needed: yes / no
- First fix before the next leadership meeting: _____

NEXT STEPS

- Use Three Teams, Three Numbers when the room has drifted back into multiple local truths.
- Use Data Foundation when the operating answer is clear but the source logic still cannot hold it.